

High Return on Investment with Environment Protecting Insulation Layer Thicknesses

FESI document 6



FEDERATION EUROPEENNE DES SYNDICATS D'ENTREPRISES D'ISOLATION EUROPEAN FEDERATION OF ASSOCIATIONS OF INSULATION CONTRACTORS

September 2012

www.fesi.eu

FESI DOCUMENT 6: High Return on Investment with Environment Protecting Insulation Layer Thicknesses

Contents

- 1. Additional costs for an 'environment protecting' insulation layer thickness
- 2. Pay-back period (PBP)
- 3. Background
- 4. Subject of this Technical Letter
- 5. Possibilities for heat retention in industrial installations
- 6. The economic insulation layer thickness on industrial installations
- 7. Increase of insulation layer thickness
- 8. Economic and ecological insulation layer thickness
- 9. Peculiarities at price jumps
- 10. Densities of heat flow rate
- 11. Summary
- 12. Further information

Executive Summary

This paper seeks to show that a consideration of pay-back periods indicates that the reduction of heat losses as a result of applying thermal insulation is a cost-effective and economic way to save energy.

In addition, the pay-back period increases insignificantly when the insulation layer is increased over and above the economic thickness up to the ecological thickness. Therefore environment protection through insulation is very cost effective.

So a small additional effort can provide a significant contribution to CO2 reduction.

1. Additional costs for an "environment protecting" insulation layer thickness

Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate in an exemplary fashion the variations in the overall costs (Δ KG) for a reduction of heat losses in a mineral wool insulation system. Basis of the calculations is an interest rate of 5 % and an annual energy expenditure increase of 6 %.

W = 6 €/GJ							
DN	Յм	SW	s*	ΔKW	∆Kis	∆KG	
	°C	mm	mm	%	%	%	
	50	30	50		17	4	
50	100 50 80	25	30	5			
50	300	100	190	-25	106	18	
	500	150	320		199	35	
	50	40	60		20	3	
150	100	70	100	25	29	4	
150	300	140	230	-25	79	13	
	500 200 350		117	18			
	50	60	80		14	3	
500	100	100	140	25	25	5	
	300	200	300	-25	50	9	
	500	290	450		69	12	

Table 1: Cost increase to reduce heat loss by 25 % at a heat price

Explanation: DN 150; medium temperature 100 °C

In the left part of Table 1, the economic insulation layer thicknesses (sW) according to VDI 2055 and the increased insulation layer thicknesses (s*) have been given.

The overall costs (\Box_{i} The last column – show a notably lesser increase. The increase rates are between 3 % and 35 %.

The results show that saving energy through an improved heat protection is a comparatively economic and profitable measure.

W = 10 € GJ							
DN	Эм	SW	S*	ΔKW	∆Kis	∆KG	
	°C	mm	mm	%	%	%	
	50	40	60		18	3	
50	100	70	120	25	55	14	
50	300	130	260	-20	152	27	
	500	180	390		232	34	
	50	60	90	25	30	8	
150	100	90	140		48	9	
150	300	180	310	-25	106	19	
	500	250	460		150	23	
	50	70	100		21	3	
500	100	120	170	25	30	4	
	300	250	380	-20	60	10	
	500	350	560		83	12	

Table 2: Cost increase to reduce heat loss by 25 % at a heat price

2. Pay-back period (PBP)

Despite these results, the question is raised whether the increased investment costs can be justified.

To assess the profitability of an insulation investment, the investment costs must be put into relation to the energy costs avoided.

A measure of the profitability is the pay-back period, also called capital return time. This is the period in which the expenditure for the investment is offset by the savings in heat loss costs. It is calculated with the relation.

 $PBP = \frac{Investment expenditure}{annual savings}$

Table 3 shows the calculation of a pay-back period with the example of a pipe of DN 150 and a medium temperature of 100 °C with an economic insulation layer thickness of 70 mm and an ecological insulation layer thickness of 100 mm with an assumed heat price of 6 \notin /GJ. For this, the pay-back period is roughly 0, 62 years.

Pay-back period			PBP	PBP*
Piping DN 150	ϑ_{M}	:	100 °C	100 °C
Heat loss without insulation	Qo	:	0,453 kW/m	0,453 kW/m
Heat loss with insulation	Q	:	0,03 kW/m	0,022 kW/m
Savings		:	0,423 kW/m	0,431kW/m
Savings per year ($\mathcal{B} = 8760 \text{ h/a})^{1}$	ΔQ	:	3705 kWh/(m·a)	3775 kWh/(m⋅a)
Costs for thermal energy W (6 €/GJ)	W	=	0,0216 €/kWh	0,0216 €/kWh
Annual saving		:	80 €⁄ (m · a)	81,5 €⁄ (m · a)
Pay-back period	KRZ <i>PBP</i>	=	0,62 a (= 7,44 Monate)	0,79 a (= 9,5 Monate)
¹⁾ β = jährliche Betriebsstunden/annual operation time				

Table 3: Calculations for the determination of pay-back periods PBP and PBP*

Means that the capital invested in this example has been returned already after roughly 7,44 months. Such a short pay-back period, also called amortisation time, shows that insulation is a highly profitable product. Its profitability is probably not outmatched by any other industrial investment.

Tables 4 and 5 show the pay-back periods for pipe insulations for the economic insulation layer thickness according to VDI 2055 (PBP) and for the increased insulation layer thickness to achieve an additional 25 % energy saving (PBP*) at heat prices of, respectively as well as the difference Δ PBP.

W = 6 €/GJ						
	9	KRZ	KRZ*	∆KRZ		
DN	in °C	PBP	PBP*	ΔPBP		
		in a	in a	in a		
	50	3,14	3,52	0,38		
50	100	1,02	1,29	0,27		
50	300	0,25	0,51	0,26		
	500	0,15	0,43	0,28		
	50	1,69	1,97	0,28		
150	100	0,62	0,79	0,17		
150	300	0,17	0,30	0,13		
	500	0,09	0,20	0,11		
	50	1,54	1,72	0,18		
	100	0,54	0,67	0,13		
500	300	0,14	0,21	0,07		
	500	0,07	0,12	0,05		

Table 4: Pay-back periods PBP for the economic insulation layer thickness according to VDI2055 and PBP* when reducing the heat loss by further 25 % and their difference $\Box KRZ$ for aheat price of W = 10 \in /GJ

W = 10 €/GJ						
	<i>.</i>	KRZ	KRZ*	∆KRZ		
DN	in °C	PBP	PBP*	∆PBP		
		in a	in a	in a		
	50	1,98	2,26	0,28		
50	100	0,71	1,08	0,37		
50	300	0,20	0,49	0,29		
	500	0,11	0,36	0,25		
	50	1,18	1,50	0,32		
150	100	0,44	0,64	0,20		
150	300	0,13	0,28	0,15		
	500	0,07	0,19	0,12		
500	50	0,98	1,16	0,18		
	100	0,36	0,47	0,11		
	300	0,10	0,16	0,06		
	500	0,05	0,10	0,05		

Table 5: Pay-back periods PBP for the economic insulation layer thickness according to VDI 2055 and PBP* when reducing the heat loss by further 25 % and their difference ∆KRZ for a heat price of W = 10 €/GJ

Tables 4 and 5 show in the third column the pay-back periods of an economic insulation layer thickness according to VDI 2055 [5]: They are the shorter, the higher the medium temperature.

In the fourth column of Tables 4 and 5 the respective pay-back periods for insulations are shown which have been increased over and above the economic optimum to achieve a further 25 % reduction of energy expenditure. They are only just longer as it is shown in the sixth column.

3. Background

The expenditure of fossil energy not only exhausts the available resources of primary energy, it moreover constitutes a strain on the environment through the associated emission of carbon dioxide.

CO2 in the atmosphere absorbs the thermal radiation emitted from the surface of the earth, thereby reducing the heat transfer into space. With a further increasing CO2 content in the atmosphere (Figure 1), a global temperature increase, known as the "green house effect", with changes in the world's climate and other yet unpredictable consequences becomes likely.



Concentration of carbon dioxide in ppm (parts per million, one thousands 0/00

Figure 1: Development of CO2 concentrations in the atmosphere [1+2]

CO2 originates when carbon is burned, e. g. to create heat. No holdback technology exists for CO2 which could be used at a grand scale as is the case for sulphur or nitrogen oxides which can be filtered out of the exhaust in flue-gas purification installations. Research has been intensified recently under the catch phrase CCS (Carbon-Capture-Storage) to find means and ways to store the CO2 created in combustion processes [3], however, expectations of success are yet unclear. The problem is aggravated by a considerably bigger energy demand for capture and storage. The most effective influence man can exert on the CO2 content of the atmosphere of the earth is by not creating CO2 in the first place.

It is therefore of paramount importance to achieve a reduction of CO2 emissions through savings in the consumption of fossil energy sources. Heat retention is a significant contribution to this.

Against the background of significant energy price increases, the efficient handling of electric power and heat has never repaid as fast as is the case today.

Figure 2 shows the price development of the most important primary energy sources over recent years.



Figure 2: Price development for primary energy sources (Source: Statistisches Bundesamt)

Since further increasing energy prices must be reckoned with in the foreseeable future, lacking knowledge regarding energy saving potentials will in the long term lead to higher costs and reduced profits when running industrial installations.

4. Subject of this Document

In this document, the discussion of ecologically advised insulations to reduce energy consumption and to protect the atmosphere is related to the insulations of industrial installations.

It provides a general discussion of the technical issues. It does not replace detailed calculations and assessments of prevailing physical conditions in complicated building tasks. It is a publication of the Technical Commission of the BFA WKSB and gives information about the status of technology at the moment of publication.

The insulation effort, which needs to be invested in an industrial installation (insulation investment), may be determined under the following aspects:

Design related to:

- operating aspects: securing of production processes
- Condensation prevention on cold installations
- Aspects of working safety and personnel protection
- pre-set maximum heat loss
- Economic aspects: the most cost effective relation between insulation investment and resulting long-term energy savings
- Ecological aspects

This letter discusses insulation investments under economic and under ecological aspects. It deals with the related pay-back periods for the insulation investment. Starting from this aspect, it explains the additional expenditure and their related slightly longer pay-back periods when the economic optimum is exceeded for ecological reasons: CO2 savings, climate protection. It is meant to explain the following:

- Investments for heat retention measures have very short pay-back periods.

- increased insulation layer thicknesses beyond the optimum calculated according to VDI 2055 to achieve additional CO2 reductions is a cost effective measure to achieve defined climate protection targets.

5. Possibilities for heat retention in industrial installations

About 50 % of the total CO2 emissions are caused by industry. An effective heat retention and thereby an effective energy saving in the industry contributes substantially to the husbanding of resources and to the aim to reduce CO2 emissions. A future-oriented dimensioning of insulation layer thicknesses at industrial installations is, therefore, of specific importance.

VDI 2055 [5] declares in chapter 6.1.1 "Dimensioning criteria":

Basis for the determination of insulation layer thicknesses are operational and economic requirements as well as legal obligations and environment protection directives, e. g. the German energy savings directive – EnEV

6. The economic insulation layer thickness on industrial installations

Together with operational aspects, the economic insulation layer thickness is frequently used to determine the capacities of insulation systems as a criterion. It is defined according to VDI 2055 as that insulation layer thickness, where the sum total of the investment expenditure for the insulation and the despite insulation remaining heat loss costs is a minimum when considering the expected lifecycle.

It depends predominantly on the following parameters:

- Diameter of the object to be insulated
- Medium temperature
- Thermal conductivity of the insulation material
- Investment expenditure and the financing mode
- Annual operation time
- Heat price and its variations over time

The heat price is composed of:

- Fuel cost
- Operational and maintenance costs
- Capital service for the installation

Examples are given in Table 6.

Power plant	Fuel costs	Operation and maintenance costs	Capital service
Hardcoal	41 %	27 %	32 %
Gas a. Steam	76 %	12 %	12 %
Cas a. Oleann	76%	12 %	12 %

 Table 6: Cost percentages of the heat price [6]
 Image: Cost percentages of the heat price [6]

The cost percentages mentioned above are varying strongly in different branches of industry. The investigations in chapter 1 are conducted with the specimen heat prices of 6 \notin /GJ and of 10 \notin /GJ.

It must be remembered, however, that an increase in energy expenditure can be forecast for the next years and decades with great certainty. Even if the energy expenditure development should continue in a less erratic fashion than in the last decade (150 % increases), one can with certainty assume that energy expenditure will continue to grow.

Whilst in the past, the energy expenditure increase has frequently been disregarded when determining the economic insulation layer thickness sW, this cannot be accepted today. Figure 3 shows the sW dependence of the annual energy expenditure increase for service periods n = 5 a, n = 10 a and n = 20 a.





7. Increase of insulation layer thickness

For reasons of sustainability – regarding both a continued profitability in the face of increasing energy expenditure as well as the climate protection – the insulation layer thickness must be increased over the technically or economically advised dimension.

The influence of increased insulation layer thicknesses on the expenditure and the profitability of industrial insulations will therefore be investigated below [7].

Considerations start at the economic insulation layer thickness according to VDI 2055 and use the assumption that the heat loss shall be lowered by 25 % compared to the heat loss associated with the economic insulation layer thickness.

The resulting increased insulation layer thickness s* is termed the "ecological" or "environment protecting" insulation layer thickness.

Figure 4 shows the connection between the heat loss costs and the insulation layer thickness.



Figure 4: Relationship between the costs of heat loss and the insulation layer thickness

In Figure 5, examples of three pipes with the nominal diameters DN 50, DN 150 and DN 500 are considered at different medium temperatures. On the basis of currently common economic insulation layer thicknesses, the percentage increases shown for the insulation layer thickness result, if a 25 % heat loss reduction is the aim.

Within the framework of its energy and climate protection programme, the German federal government even envisages until 2020 a 40 % reduction of CO2 emissions compared to the starting point 1990.



Figure 5: Insulation layer thickness increase in percentage to achieve a 25 % heat loss reduction

Explanation:

To achieve an additional reduction of the heat loss by the assumed 25 %, an insulation layer thickness increase by 55 % is required at a medium temperature $\Box M = 100$ °C and a diameter DN 150.

The increases of insulation layer thicknesses vary dependent upon the diameter and the medium temperature between 40 % and 120 %, where at low diameters the curvature effect and the surface increase with increasing insulation layer thickness have a specifically notable influence.

The increase of insulation layer thicknesses needed for a further 25 % reduction of heat loss is considerable, and to question the associated cost increases is entirely justified.

The expenditure is considered in more detail below, however, only regarding the actual insulation expenditure without costs associated with installations and buildings.

8. Economic and ecological insulation layer thickness

The connection between economic and ecological insulation layer thicknesses and the related expenditure is demonstrated in Figure 6.



Figure 6: Economic insulation layer thickness and costs for an additional 25 % reduction of heat loss

Figure 6 shows the development of heat loss costs (KW), insulation investment costs (Kis) and total costs (KG). The heat loss costs which remain at the minimum of the total cost curve, i. e. the economic insulation layer thickness are set to 100 %. As the aim is to lower them to 75 % of the value remaining at the economic optimum, the heat loss must be reduced by further 25 %.

The cost curve shown in Figure 6 demonstrates that the curve of the total costs is very flat in the area of the total cost minimum. This means that the total costs, despite an increase of

the insulation expenditure, do only moderately increase, since the heat loss savings are still very much accentuated in this area.

9. Peculiarities at price jumps

Price jumps, occurring e. g. in mineral wool insulates at the transgression to an additional insulation layer, have been disregarded. If price jumps occur in the expenditure function, it may be required in specific cases to conduct a special calculation, or to limit the insulation layer thickness increase to values lying directly in front of the price jump.

10. Densities of heat flow rate

Frequently, a set density of heat flow rate is used as a criterion for the dimensioning of insulation systems.

In AGI working document Q 101 "Insulation work in power plant components" a density of heat flow rate of 150 W/m2 has been recommended. In buildings, when the heat released by the insulation system is partly led back into the boiler system over a combustion-air blower, 200 W/m2 are considered sufficient.

The current edition of Q 101 dates back to the year 2000. As is shown in Figure 1, primary energy prices have risen considerably since, so that a reduction of the value of 150 W/m2 makes sense. Table 7 provides decision assistance. In this table, s150 mean the insulation layer thickness for a density of heat flow rate of 150 W/m2, sw is the economic insulation layer thickness for a heat price of and s* the ecological insulation layer thickness.

DN	.θ ^M ℃	s ₂₀₀ mm	S ₁₅₀ mm	s ₁₀₀ mm	s _w mm	s* mm
50	300	50	60	80	100	190
	500	100	120	160	150	320
150	300	60	70	100	140	230
	500	120	150	210	200	350
500	300	60	90	120	200	300
	500	140	190	260	290	450

Table 7: Insulation layer thicknesses for different requirements

Table 7 shows that the common recommendation of an acceptable density of heat flow rate of 150 W/m2 can, already for economic reasons, not are maintained.

11. Summary

A consideration of pay-back periods shows that the reduction of heat losses through insulations is a cost-effective and economic measure to save energy. The pay-back period PBP* even increases only insignificantly where the insulation layer thickness is increased over and above the economic up to the ecological measure: environment protection through insulation is thus very cost-effective.

Already a low additional effort can constitute a significant contribution to CO2 reduction!

Literature

- [1] Richard A. Houghton: "Globale Veränderungen des Klimas". Spektrum der Wissenschaft 6(1989)
- [2] Scripps Institution of Oceanography
- [3] Kasparek, G: Kraftwerke der Zukunft Die Vermeidung von CO2. Isoliertechnik 1/(2007), S. 38 ff.
- [4] Bundesumweltamt 2007
- [5] VDI 2055, Wärme- und Kälteschutz für betriebstechnische Anlagen Berechnungen, Gewährleistungen, Mess- und Prüfverfahren, Gütesicherung, Lieferbedingungen. Beuth Verlag, 10772 Berlin, 1994
- [6] Voß, A: Wettbewerbsfähigkeit der verschiedenen Stromerzeugungsarten im liberalisierten Markt. VGB Kraftwerkstechnik (2000)
- [7] Kasparek, G.: Umweltschutz und Isoliertechnik. Isoliertechnik 1(1992(, S. 56 ff.

This FESI document is based on a Technical Letter of BFA WKSB (German Insulation Association) No 6 High profitability with environment protecting insulation layer thicknesses. It provides a general discussion of the technical issues mentioned therein. It does not replace detailed calculations and assessments of prevailing physical conditions in complicated building tasks.

12. Further information

More detailed information or advice can be obtained from the insulation contracting industry association in your country via the FESI website <u>www.fesi.eu</u>.

The information contained in this document is considered by us to be good practice and industry guidance. The Guidance is provided by a commission of FESI (<u>www.fesi.eu</u>), and is considered to be correct at the date of publication. Whilst we are confident the information contained within it is up to date and accurate, it is a reference document only. It is your responsibility to ensure your knowledge of the matters discussed in the Guidance is kept up to date.

The Guidance is intended to be used for general purposes only and is not intended to take precedence over appropriate national and international standards, guidelines or laws (where applicable). The Guidance is not intended to replace detailed calculations and assessments of prevailing physical conditions in complicated building assignments.

The Guidance does not constitute professional advice and specific queries should be referred to

qualified professionals. Any reliance placed on the Guidance without seeking such advice is strictly at your own risk. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability or suitability of the Guidance.

The Guidance in its original form is written in English. We accept no responsibility for any inaccuracies contained in any translation of the Guidance in languages other than English.

The Guidance is provided free of charge and as such in no event will we be liable for any loss or damage including, without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any reliance placed on the Guidance. None of these exclusions or limitations, however, are intended to limit any rights which may not be excluded, nor to exclude or limit liability to you for death or personal injury resulting from our negligence or for other liability which we cannot exclude or limit by law.